SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	25

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _Robin Teskin_

(2) _Anthony Caputo_

(3) _Theresa Rea_

(4) _____

Date of interview _10/5/95_

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: _all pending_

Identification of prior art discussed: _Browne et al; Minson et al; and Carter et al._

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _Applicants agreed to provide a declaration to distinguish the prior art over the claimed invention. The Browne et al. and Minson et al. reference would be withdrawn counting out of the showing in the declaration the reference of Browne et al and Minson relate to to the HPV-16 mutant similar to Zhou et al (1990 or 1991) The Carter et al references will be withdrawn upon a persuasive 132 declaration that a conformation L/protein would not have been obtained (HPV16 is the mutant, NPV-6 is the truncated L1; HPV-1 has mutants)._

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1–7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

_A C_ _____
Examiner's Signature

PTOL-413 (REV. 1-84)

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER